



George Washington Academy
196 North Rose
Mount Clemens, MI 48043
(586) 461-3800 (586) 469-7028 (fax)

August 24, 2010

Dear Parents and Community Members:

We are pleased to present you with the Annual Education Report (AER) which provides key information on the 2009-2010 educational progress for the George Washington Academy. The AER addresses the complex reporting information required by federal and state laws. The school's report contains information about student assessment, Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and teacher quality. If you have any questions about the AER, Please contact George E. Loder for assistance.

The AER is available for you to review electronically by visiting the following web site www.mtcps.org or you may review a copy from the Washington Academy's office.

For 2009-2010, Washington Academy did not make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) because we did not attain target achievement goals for all subgroups of students in English Language Arts. If we do not make AYP for two years in a row, we will be identified for school Improvement. The subgroup that did not attain the target achievement goals for ELA was made up of students from a centered program for physical disabilities. Beginning with the 2010-2011 school years these scores will be included in the centered program home school report and not ours. Understanding that this remedy will not effect this year not meeting Adequate Yearly Progress, we are working even harder to improve. Our school report card grade was a "B" which we have scored for the last three years.

We appreciate the continued support of our parents, staff and our community in this effort. Parents can assist children's academic success by insuring daily attendance, helping with their child's homework, attending Parent Teacher Conferences and participation in parent and school activities.

State law requires that we also report additional information. Washington Academy is an Elementary School of choice. We have students enrolled from kindergarten through sixth grade. Our families who reside outside of the Mount Clemens Community School District area have to apply for admittance to our school during the open enrollment period.

Within our school we have The Student Success Reading Lab which was established at Washington Academy in April o 2004. Our philosophy and goal is to reach every struggling learner at Washington Academy and ensure that reasonable efforts have been made to help them learn how to be literate, functioning adults with lifelong reading skills by providing individualized strategies that will enhance their learning potential in Reading and Language Arts, as well as in other core curriculum areas.

Washington Academy is accredited by the North Central Association and is commended for commitment to high academic standards and continuous improvement. We are preparing for our Quality Assurance Review (QAR) to be held during the 2011-2012 school year.

Washington Academy's curriculum is aligned with the State of Michigan Standards and Grade Level Content Expectations. A copy of our curriculum can be assessed on our district web site, www.mtcps.org, or obtained by contacting Washington Academy principal, George E. Loder

We encourage all parents and families to take an active part in their child's education experience. During the 2009-2010 school year the parents at Washington Academy attended 86% of the fall parent teacher conferences and 90% of the spring parent teacher conference.

The Washington Academy Staff are proud of our School Report Card grade of "B". We congratulate the parents and the students for a job well done, and encourage them to continue to grow and set their goals to reach their fullest potential in all they do.

Washington Academy is a family of lifelong learners working together for a common goal, increased student achievement.

Sincerely,

George E. Loder
Principal
George Washington Academy

Full Annual Education Report

School-Level Student Assessment Data for Macomb ISD, Mt. Clemens Community School District, George Washington Academy

Michigan Educational Assessment Program (MEAP)

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
English Language Arts / Reading									
Grade: 03									
All Students	2008-09	100%	86.4%	79.2%	80%	17.8%	62.2%	17.8%	2.2%
All Students	2009-10	100%	89.8%	77.8%	73.9%	17.4%	56.5%	26.1%	0%
Female	2008-09	100%	88.3%	82.4%	83.3%	33.3%	50%	16.7%	0%
Female	2009-10	100%	91.9%	79.7%	71.4%	28.6%	42.9%	28.6%	0%
Male	2008-09	100%	84.6%	76.9%	77.8%	7.4%	70.4%	18.5%	3.7%
Male	2009-10	100%	87.9%	76%	76%	8%	68%	24%	0%
Black or	2008-09	100%	75.1%	73.4%	77.8%	22.2%	55.6%	22.2%	0%

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
African American									
Black or African American	2009-10	100%	80.6%	78.9%	85.7%	14.3%	71.4%	14.3%	0%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	2008-09	<10	93.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Hispanic or Latino	2008-09	<10	79%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Hispanic or Latino	2009-10	<10	84.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	100%	90%	85.5%	76.2%	19%	57.1%	19%	4.8%
White	2009-10	100%	92.7%	73.9%	65.2%	21.7%	43.5%	34.8%	0%
Multiracial	2008-09	<10	85.2%	80%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Multiracial	2009-10	<10	88.7%	85%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited English Proficient	2008-09	<10	74.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited English Proficient	2009-10	<10	82.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	63.4%	70.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students	2009-10	<10	71%	35.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
White	2008-09	100%	88%	77%	75%	29.2%	45.8%	25%	0%
White	2009-10	100%	88.9%	84.9%	88.2%	35.3%	52.9%	11.8%	0%
Multiracial	2009-10	<10	81.1%	63.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited English Proficient	2008-09	<10	61.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited English Proficient	2009-10	<10	64.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	54.7%	39.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	58%	41.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2008-09	100%	72.9%	65.9%	74.2%	19.4%	54.8%	19.4%	6.5%
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	75.7%	60.5%	74.2%	22.6%	51.6%	19.4%	6.5%
Grade: 05									
All Students	2008-09	100%	81.5%	72.3%	75%	11.4%	63.6%	13.6%	11.4%
All Students	2009-10	100%	85.2%	74.6%	74.4%	41%	33.3%	15.4%	10.3%
Female	2008-09	100%	83.4%	71.6%	83.3%	11.1%	72.2%	11.1%	5.6%
Female	2009-10	100%	86.8%	73.3%	78.6%	42.9%	35.7%	0%	21.4%
Male	2008-09	100%	79.8%	73.1%	69.2%	11.5%	57.7%	15.4%	15.4%
Male	2009-10	100%	83.5%	75.7%	72%	40%	32%	24%	4%

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Black or African American	2008-09	100%	63.5%	61%	59.1%	13.6%	45.5%	22.7%	18.2%
Black or African American	2009-10	100%	70.4%	70.7%	84.6%	38.5%	46.2%	7.7%	7.7%
Hispanic or Latino	2008-09	<10	71.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Hispanic or Latino	2009-10	<10	74.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	100%	86.9%	86.3%	90%	10%	80%	5%	5%
White	2009-10	100%	89.8%	83.9%	78.3%	47.8%	30.4%	13%	8.7%
Multiracial	2009-10	<10	83.9%	63.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited English Proficient	2009-10	<10	61.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	49.2%	31.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	57.6%	61.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2008-09	100%	70.5%	68.1%	72.5%	12.5%	60%	15%	12.5%
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	76.6%	72.5%	73.3%	36.7%	36.7%	13.3%	13.3%
Grade: 06									

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
All Students	2009-10	100%	87.7%	81.7%	85.7%	17.1%	68.6%	11.4%	2.9%
Female	2009-10	100%	90%	92.2%	100%	23.5%	76.5%	0%	0%
Male	2009-10	100%	85.4%	69.6%	72.2%	11.1%	61.1%	22.2%	5.6%
Black or African American	2009-10	100%	75.8%	74.2%	81.3%	18.8%	62.5%	12.5%	6.3%
Hispanic or Latino	2009-10	<10	82.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	100%	91.1%	97.1%	100%	18.2%	81.8%	0%	0%
Multiracial	2009-10	<10	87%	80%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	59.9%	50%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	81.1%	78.8%	84.8%	18.2%	66.7%	12.1%	3%
Mathematics									
Grade: 03									
All Students	2008-09	100%	91.3%	90.6%	88.9%	40%	48.9%	8.9%	2.2%
All Students	2009-10	100%	94.8%	89.4%	84.4%	20%	64.4%	15.6%	0%
Female	2008-09	100%	90.9%	91.2%	88.9%	55.6%	33.3%	11.1%	0%
Female	2009-10	100%	94.8%	85.3%	71.4%	33.3%	38.1%	28.6%	0%
Male	2008-09	100%	91.6%	90.1%	88.9%	29.6%	59.3%	7.4%	3.7%
Male	2009-10	100%	94.8%	93.2%	95.8%	8.3%	87.5%	4.2%	0%

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Black or African American	2008-09	100%	78.9%	84.8%	83.3%	33.3%	50%	11.1%	5.6%
Black or African American	2009-10	100%	87.7%	86.8%	84.6%	15.4%	69.2%	15.4%	0%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	2008-09	<10	96%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Hispanic or Latino	2008-09	<10	86.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Hispanic or Latino	2009-10	<10	92.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	100%	94.9%	95.2%	90.5%	42.9%	47.6%	9.5%	0%
White	2009-10	100%	96.9%	95.7%	91.3%	21.7%	69.6%	8.7%	0%
Multiracial	2008-09	<10	92%	100%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Multiracial	2009-10	<10	94.3%	95%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited English Proficient	2008-09	<10	85.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited English Proficient	2009-10	<10	92.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	79.7%	88.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Latino									
White	2008-09	100%	92%	83.9%	80%	20%	60%	20%	0%
White	2009-10	100%	95.1%	100%	100%	41.2%	58.8%	0%	0%
Multiracial	2009-10	<10	91%	100%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited English Proficient	2008-09	<10	75.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited English Proficient	2009-10	<10	86.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	68.1%	39.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	80%	64.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2008-09	100%	80.4%	70.7%	74.2%	22.6%	51.6%	19.4%	6.5%
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	87.8%	84.1%	87.1%	25.8%	61.3%	9.7%	3.2%
Grade: 05									
All Students	2008-09	100%	76.8%	62%	60%	11.1%	48.9%	24.4%	15.6%
All Students	2009-10	100%	79.5%	73.1%	69.2%	30.8%	38.5%	28.2%	2.6%
Female	2008-09	100%	75.8%	58.1%	72.2%	5.6%	66.7%	22.2%	5.6%
Female	2009-10	100%	79.6%	76.3%	78.6%	42.9%	35.7%	21.4%	0%
Male	2008-09	100%	77.8%	66.2%	51.9%	14.8%	37%	25.9%	22.2%

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Male	2009-10	100%	79.4%	70.4%	64%	24%	40%	32%	4%
Black or African American	2008-09	100%	55.2%	55.8%	54.5%	4.5%	50%	18.2%	27.3%
Black or African American	2009-10	100%	62.5%	63.8%	69.2%	38.5%	30.8%	30.8%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	2008-09	<10	66.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Hispanic or Latino	2009-10	<10	71%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	100%	83%	71.2%	61.9%	19%	42.9%	33.3%	4.8%
White	2009-10	100%	84.3%	80%	69.6%	30.4%	39.1%	30.4%	0%
Multiracial	2009-10	<10	78.2%	75%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited English Proficient	2009-10	<10	67.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	46.9%	29.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	52.8%	57.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2008-09	100%	64.4%	55.8%	56.1%	9.8%	46.3%	26.8%	17.1%
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	69.8%	71.3%	70%	40%	30%	26.7%	3.3%

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Grade: 06									
All Students	2009-10	100%	82%	72.7%	69.4%	30.6%	38.9%	19.4%	11.1%
Female	2009-10	100%	83%	79.7%	76.5%	35.3%	41.2%	17.6%	5.9%
Male	2009-10	100%	81.1%	64.9%	63.2%	26.3%	36.8%	21.1%	15.8%
Black or African American	2009-10	100%	62.3%	65.2%	56.3%	37.5%	18.8%	31.3%	12.5%
Hispanic or Latino	2009-10	<10	75.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	100%	87.5%	83.3%	83.3%	25%	58.3%	8.3%	8.3%
Multiracial	2009-10	<10	80%	86.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	52.3%	38.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	72.5%	69.5%	67.6%	29.4%	38.2%	20.6%	11.8%
Science									
Grade: 05									
All Students	2008-09	100%	83.1%	71.4%	62.2%	22.2%	40%	24.4%	13.3%
All Students	2009-10	100%	81%	72.9%	69.2%	23.1%	46.2%	20.5%	10.3%
Female	2008-09	100%	83.3%	74%	77.8%	16.7%	61.1%	22.2%	0%
Female	2009-10	100%	81.1%	78%	71.4%	35.7%	35.7%	21.4%	7.1%

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Male	2008-09	100%	82.9%	68.7%	51.9%	25.9%	25.9%	25.9%	22.2%
Male	2009-10	100%	80.8%	68.6%	68%	16%	52%	20%	12%
Black or African American	2008-09	100%	61.8%	61%	40.9%	13.6%	27.3%	40.9%	18.2%
Black or African American	2009-10	100%	59.6%	68.4%	76.9%	7.7%	69.2%	15.4%	7.7%
Hispanic or Latino	2008-09	<10	72.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Hispanic or Latino	2009-10	<10	68.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	100%	89.4%	86%	81%	28.6%	52.4%	9.5%	9.5%
White	2009-10	100%	87.5%	83.6%	73.9%	34.8%	39.1%	17.4%	8.7%
Multiracial	2009-10	<10	79.3%	58.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited English Proficient	2009-10	<10	56.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	63.6%	18.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	60.7%	76.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2008-09	100%	72.3%	66.4%	58.5%	22%	36.6%	26.8%	14.6%
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	70.6%	72%	70%	23.3%	46.7%	16.7%	13.3%

Michigan Merit Examination (MME)

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
No records to display.									

MI-Access

Functional Independence

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
English Language Arts								
Grade: 03								
All Students	2008-09	<10	82.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
All Students	2009-10	<10	81%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
Male	2008-09	<10	83.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	81.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	87.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	83.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 04								
All Students	2008-09	<10	83.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2008-09	<10	84.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	83.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2008-09	<10	77.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	85.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 05								
All Students	2008-09	<10	83.1%	75%	<10	<10	<10	<10
All Students	2009-10	<10	81%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2008-09	<10	83.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	81.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	83%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	80.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	76.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	84.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
White	2009-10	<10	83.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics								
Grade: 03								
All Students	2008-09	<10	80.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
All Students	2009-10	<10	76.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	81.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	78.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	83.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	79.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 04								
All Students	2008-09	<10	86.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2008-09	<10	84.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	87.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	88.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 05								
All Students	2008-09	<10	74.6%	63.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
All Students	2009-10	<10	71.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2008-09	<10	72.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	65.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
Male	2008-09	<10	75.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	74.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	65.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	78.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	74.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science								
Grade: 05								
All Students	2008-09	<10	59.6%	54.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
All Students	2009-10	<10	58%	41.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2008-09	<10	57.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	51.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	60.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	61.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	48.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	65.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	63.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Supported Independence

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
English Language Arts								
Grade: 05								
All Students	2009-10	<10	72.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	74.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	70.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics								
Grade: 05								
All Students	2009-10	<10	85.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	86.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	85.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science								
Grade: 05								
All Students	2009-10	<10	76.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	76.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	76.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Participation

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
English Language Arts								
Grade: 03								
All Students	2008-09	<10	62%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
All Students	2009-10	<10	69.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2008-09	<10	62.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	63.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	63.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	71.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 04								
All Students	2009-10	<10	62.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	65.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	60.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	63.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 05								
All Students	2008-09	<10	60.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2008-09	<10	56.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
Black or African American	2008-09	<10	57.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	62.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics								
Grade: 03								
All Students	2008-09	<10	68.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
All Students	2009-10	<10	70.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2008-09	<10	66%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	63.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	68.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	71.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 04								
All Students	2009-10	<10	64.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	64.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	64.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	63%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 05								
All Students	2008-09	<10	59.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2008-09	<10	55%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
Black or African American	2008-09	<10	60%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	60.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science								
Grade: 05								
All Students	2008-09	<10	61.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2008-09	<10	58.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2008-09	<10	58%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	65%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

MEAP-Access

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Level 1	% Level 2	% Level 3
Reading								
Grade: 05								
All Students	2009-10	<10	50%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	53.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	48%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	41.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	<10	48%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 06								
All Students	2009-10	<10	48.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	47.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	39.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	52%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	<10	44.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics								

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Level 1	% Level 2	% Level 3
Grade: 05								
All Students	2009-10	<10	62.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	60.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	63.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	55.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	<10	60.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 06								
All Students	2009-10	<10	47.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	48.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	46.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	<10	48.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

2009-10 School-Level Accountability (AYP) Detail Reporting

for Macomb ISD, Mt. Clemens Community School District, George Washington Academy

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
All Students		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.1%	93.9%
Mathematics	98.9%	93.7%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.8%	86.4%
Mathematics	99.2%	85.8%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	103%	89%
Mathematics	102.4%	89.6%
Black or African American		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	97.7%	88.4%

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
Mathematics	97.4%	88%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	95.9%	83.2%
Mathematics	95.2%	83.3%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	98.4%	87.7%
Mathematics	96.8%	90.8%
American Indian or Alaska Native		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.2%	93.2%
Mathematics	99%	92.4%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander		
State		

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
English Language Arts / Reading	99.5%	96.8%
Mathematics	99.6%	97.5%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
Hispanic or Latino		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.3%	91.3%
Mathematics	98.9%	92.4%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
White		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.4%	95.3%
Mathematics	99.3%	95.1%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	94.5%	90.2%
Mathematics	93.9%	87.9%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	95.4%	88.2%
Mathematics	95.4%	88.2%
Multiracial		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	102.4%	93.5%
Mathematics	102.3%	94.3%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	188.4%	92%

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
Mathematics	190.7%	89.5%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
Limited English Proficient		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	123.6%	86.9%
Mathematics	126.3%	92.3%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
Students with Disabilities		
State		

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
English Language Arts / Reading	102.6%	73.1%
Mathematics	102.2%	76.5%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.3%	48.2%
Mathematics	98.7%	56.4%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	100%	45.7%
Mathematics	100%	57.1%
Economically Disadvantaged		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	102.6%	90.6%
Mathematics	102.5%	91.1%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	100.4%	85.3%
Mathematics	99.9%	85%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	101.4%	90.1%
Mathematics	101.4%	90.7%

Note: 467 Recently arrived LEP students took part in the State's ELPA instead of the MEAP/MME/MI-Access.

* [AYP Targets \(Annual Measurable Objectives\)](#)

Graduation Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)	
All Students	
State	
	75.39%
District	
	71.92%
Black or African American	
State	
	56.59%
District	
	69.79%
American Indian or Alaska Native	
State	

Graduation Rate (High Schools only)
(Goal 80%)

65%

Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander

State

84.47%

District

<10

Hispanic or Latino

State

59.94%

District

<10

White

State

81.85%

Graduation Rate (High Schools only)
(Goal 80%)

District

73.81%

Multiracial

State

71.12%

District

<10

Limited English Proficient

State

65.51%

Students with Disabilities

State

57.61%

Graduation Rate (High Schools only)
(Goal 80%)

District

66.67%

Economically Disadvantaged

State

59.8%

District

64.71%

Attendance Rate
(Goal 90%)

All Students

State

94.7%

District

92.2%

School

Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)	
	94.3%
Black or African American	
State	
	91%
District	
	91.7%
School	
	93.7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	
State	
	93.7%
District	
	96.7%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	

**Attendance Rate
(Goal 90%)**

State

96.5%

District

95.5%

School

93.7%

Hispanic or Latino

State

94.1%

District

95.5%

School

95.5%

White

**Attendance Rate
(Goal 90%)**

State

95.7%

District

92.6%

School

94.4%

Multiracial

State

94.8%

District

91.6%

School

95.4%

Limited English Proficient

**Attendance Rate
(Goal 90%)**

State

94.6%

District

96.2%

School

95.4%

Students with Disabilities

State

93.5%

District

91%

School

91.7%

Economically Disadvantaged

Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)	
State	94.8%
District	92.5%
School	94.3%

* All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.

** More information regarding AYP can be found at the following link:

http://www.michigan.gov/mde/0,1607,7-140-22709_22875---,00.html

Michigan Annual AYP Objectives

Michigan Annual AYP Objectives for Reading/ELA

School Year	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11
2001-02		38%			31%		42%
2002-03		38%			31%		42%
2003-04		38%			31%		42%
2004-05		48%			43%		52%
2005-06	50%	48%	46%	45%	43%	41%	52%

2009-10 School-Level Accountability (AYP) Status Reporting for Macomb ISD, Mt. Clemens Community School District, George Washington Academy

School AYP Status

Title 1 Status	AYP ELA/Reading Status	AYP Mathematics Status	AYP Overall Status	Education Yes Report Card Grade	School Improvement Status	Years in Improvement
Yes	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	B	N/A	0

December, 2009 School-Level Teacher Quality Reporting for Macomb ISD, Mt. Clemens Community School District, George Washington Academy

	Other	B.A.	M.A.	Ph.D
Professional Qualifications of All Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School	0	6	21	0

Professional Qualifications are defined by the State and may include information such as the degrees of public school teachers (e.g., percentage of teachers with Bachelors Degrees or Masters Degrees) or the percentage of fully certified teachers

Percentage of Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School with Emergency Certification	0%
	School Aggregate
Percentage of Core Academic Subject Elementary and Secondary School Classes not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%

Michigan Report Card for the National Assessment of Educational Progress

NAEP 2009 Grade 4 Mathematics Results

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	22	43	30	5
Male	50	22	41	30	7
Female	50	22	45	29	4
National Lunch Program Eligibility					
Eligible	43	36	47	16	1
Not Eligible	56	11	40	40	9
Info not available	†	†	†	†	†
Race Ethnicity					
White	71	14	43	37	6
Black	20	52	39	9	0
Hispanic	5	29	51	19	1
Asian Amer/Pacif Isl	3	13	32	36	19
American Indian	1	†	†	†	†
Unclassified	1	†	†	†	†
Student classified as					

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
having a disability	12	42	39	17	2
SD	88	19	44	31	6
Not SD					
Student is an English Language Learner	3	48	40	11	1
ELL	97	21	43	31	5
Not ELL					

‡ Reporting Standards not met. Note: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2009 Mathematics Achievement.

NAEP 2009 Grade 8 Mathematics Results

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	32	37	24	7
Male	51	31	37	24	8
Female	49	33	38	24	5
National Lunch Program Eligibility					
Eligible	38	50	37	12	1
Not Eligible	62	21	38	31	10
Info not available	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Race Ethnicity					
White	74	23	40	29	8
Black	18	68	27	4	1
Hispanic	4	38	45	15	2
Asian Amer/Pacif Isl	2	11	30	31	28
American Indian	1	‡	‡	‡	‡
Unclassified	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Student classified as					

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
having a disability	10	75	22	2	1
SD	90	27	39	27	7
Not SD					
Student is an English Language Learner	2	58	32	10	0
ELL	98	32	37	24	7
Not ELL					

‡ Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2009 Mathematics Achievement.

NAEP 2009 Grade 4 Reading Results

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	36	34	23	6
Male	50	39	35	21	5
Female	50	32	34	26	8
National Lunch Program Eligibility					
Eligible	43	52	33	13	2
Not Eligible	57	24	36	31	10
Info not available	#	‡	‡	‡	‡
Race Ethnicity					
White	71	28	36	28	8
Black	19	65	26	7	1
Hispanic	5	49	34	15	2
Asian Amer/Pacif Isl	3	21	37	25	17
American Indian	1	‡	‡	‡	‡
Unclassified	1	‡	‡	‡	‡
Student classified as					

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
having a disability	10	66	24	8	3
SD	90	32	36	25	7
Not SD					
Student is an English Language Learner	3	65	26	9	1
ELL	97	35	35	24	7
Not ELL					

Rounds to zero

‡ Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2009 Reading Assessment.

NAEP 2009 Grade 8 Reading Results

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	28	41	28	3
Male	51	33	42	23	2
Female	49	23	41	32	4
National Lunch Program Eligibility					
Eligible	37	44	41	14	1
Not Eligible	62	18	42	36	4
Info not available	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Race Ethnicity					
White	74	21	32	32	3
Black	18	54	37	9	#
Hispanic	4	40	34	24	2
Asian Amer/Pacif Isl	2	‡	‡	‡	‡
American Indian	1	‡	‡	‡	‡
Unclassified	1	‡	‡	‡	‡

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
Student classified as having a disability	9	73	22	4	#
SD	91	23	43	30	3
Not SD					
Student is an English Language Learner	2	60	33	8	#
ELL	98	27	42	28	3
Not ELL					

Rounds to zero

‡ Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2009 Reading Assessment.

Grade	Subject	Participation Rate for Students with Disabilities	Standard Error	Participation Rate for IEP Students	Standard Error	Participation Rate for Limited English Proficient Students	Standard Error
4	Math	82.08	2.771	81.98	2.786	91.89	3.063
	Reading	72.05	2.592	72.01	2.63	81.16	3.53
8	Math	76.39	2.561	76.21	2.578	93.13	4.12
	Reading	70.72	3.239	70.46	3.298	85.15	4.505